

This form may be passed (unattributed) to the lead applicant

RfPB Programme	Proposal Reference: ProjRefXX-XX-XXX-XXXXX
Peer Review Form	Project Title: ProjTitleXX
Please return by: DD-MMMM-YYYY	Reviewer reference number:
If you have any problems submitting this form please contact the CCF on:	ReviewerRefXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
Tel: 020 8943 8990	

Using this Form

Thank you for agreeing to review this application to the Research for Patient Benefit programme. Your completed responses are considered confidential by the RfPB programme, and therefore exempt under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (section 41). This document contains sections which are considered confidential from the applicant and other sections that will be fed back. The sections in question are clearly marked. Receipt of this document from the RfPB programme, and your subsequent completed return, form a 'mutual confidentiality agreement' covering your completed responses. This information will not be released without prior consent unless required by law.

Once you have completed your peer review please save it with the file name FileNameGoesHere..... This should be the same name as the file you downloaded. The file should then be uploaded to the CCF website. Please log on at <http://www.nihr-ccf.org.uk> and click on the 'log in' link. Once logged in click on the LinkGoesHereXXXXXXXX. **Only a file with the same name as that downloaded can be uploaded to the site.** This helps us track the movement of the peer review in our system.

RATING SUMMARY

Please rate how the proposal addresses each of the criteria using the drop down boxes below.

Relevance to RfPB :	Please select.....
Feasibility and quality of research design:	Please select.....
Adequacy of project planning:	Please select.....
Patient /public involvement:	Please select.....
Impact:	Please select.....
Value for money:	Please select.....

The prompts are intended to help you focus on specific RfPB selection criteria. Please feel free to comment on additional aspects which you consider to be relevant.

1. Relevance to RfPB

- A) Does the proposed work fit into the overall scope and aim of RfPB?
- B) Does the proposal have relevance, impact, importance and a potential to benefit health, healthcare and/or the use of NHS services within the local settings?
- C) Does the proposal reflect an awareness of the needs of users, patients and carers and encourage co- operation between the various stakeholders?
- D) Is there similar research published or under way elsewhere?

2. Feasibility and quality of research design

- A) Is the proposed research (qualitative or quantitative) of high quality?
- B) Does it show originality and innovation?
- C) Does the research group have a track-record of undertaking high quality research?
- D) If the principal researcher is inexperienced, does he/she have the background and support to develop the work (e.g. from their host institution and more senior colleagues)?

3. Adequacy of project plan

- A) Is the project plan likely to meet its milestones and the proposed completion date?
- B) Are the different roles clear and is the team as a whole well-coordinated?
- C) Do you consider that the application deals adequately with any ethical concerns that might arise?

4. Patient and Public Involvement

- A) Is there appropriate patient and public involvement in the application, given the nature of the design?
- B) Does the patient and public involvement grid accurately describe the level and nature of the involvement planned?

5. Impact

- A) Have the applicants outlined an acceptable approach to disseminating the results of their research?
- B) Is this research likely to affect clinical practice or otherwise have an influence on health or healthcare?
- C) Will the results of this research have the potential for commercial exploitation?

6. Value for money

- A) Is the requested level of resources justified?
- B) Does the proposal demonstrate an awareness of the potential implications for the NHS and discuss these adequately?

7. Additional comments

Do you have any suggestions for how the project might be improved? Please indicate whether you see these as critical features.

--

8. Confidential comments

If you would like to make any comments in confidence concerning this application, please enter them in this field.

--

9. Overall score

Please provide an overall score (lowest score 1, highest 10) for the application that can be used by the Funding Committee. A score of 6 is the lower threshold, if you award a score lower than 6 you are recommending that the application should not be funded. Please select using the drop down box below.

Please select....

10. Recommendation

Please indicate if you think the RfPB Programme should support this application using the drop down box below.

Please select....
